

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 * * *

4 ANDES INDUSTRIES, INC. and PCT
5 INTERNATIONAL, INC.,

6 Plaintiffs,

7 v.

8 CHENG SUN LAN; KUN-TE YANG;
9 CHI-JEN (DENNIS) LAN; POLAR
10 STAR MANAGEMENT LTD.;
11 EZCONN CORPORATION; and
EGTRAN CORPORATION.

Defendants.

Case No. 2:14-cv-00400-APG-GWF

**FINAL JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF
DEFENDANTS EZCONN
CORPORATION AND EGTRAN
CORPORATION**

[Dkt. #88]

12 On March 25, 2015, I granted the motions to dismiss filed by defendants eGTran
13 Corporation and EZconn Corporation because this court lacks personal jurisdiction over those
14 defendants. (Dkt. #80.) On September 25, 2015, eGTran and EZconn moved for entry of final
15 judgment in their favor. (Dkt. #88.) Plaintiffs do not oppose entry of final judgment in favor of
16 those defendants, provided it is without prejudice as to the substantive claims plaintiffs assert
17 against them. (Dkt. #90 at 8:11-13.) Because I have dismissed plaintiffs' claims due to lack of
18 personal jurisdiction, this judgment shall be without prejudice to plaintiffs' ability to assert those
19 claims in a court that can exercise jurisdiction over eGTran and EZconn.

20 There is no just reason to delay entry of final judgment in favor of eGTran and EZconn.
21 Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b). Entry of final judgment in favor of eGTran and EZconn is warranted under
22 Fed. R. Civ. P. 58.

23 Accordingly, FINAL JUDGMENT is hereby entered in favor of defendants eGTran
24 Corporation and defendant EZconn Corporation and against plaintiffs Andes Industries, Inc. and
25 PCT International, Inc.

26 DATED this 30th day of November, 2015.

27 
28 ANDREW P. GORDON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE